arXiv:1406.2453v4 [math.DS] 4 Oct 2015

ON ESCAPING SETS OF SOME FAMILIES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS AND DYNAMICS OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

DINESH KUMAR

ABSTRACT. We consider two families of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\lambda,\xi}(z) = e^{-z+\lambda} + \xi : \lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0, \operatorname{Re}\xi \geq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}' = \{f_{\mu,\zeta}(z) = e^{z+\mu} + \zeta : \mu, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}\mu < 0, \operatorname{Re}\zeta \leq -1\}$ and investigate the escaping sets of members of the family $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$. We also consider the dynamics of composite entire functions and provide conditions for equality of escaping sets of two transcendental entire functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a transcendental entire function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let f^n denote the n-th iterate of f. The set $F(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \{f^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is normal in some neighborhood of $z\}$ is called the Fatou set of f or the set of normality of f and its complement $J(f)$ is called the Julia set of f. For an introduction to the properties of these sets see [3]. The escaping set of f denoted by $I(f)$ is the set of points in the complex plane that tend to infinity under iteration of f. In general, it is neither an open nor a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and has interesting topological properties. The escaping set for a transcendental entire function f was studied for the first time by Eremenko [7] who established that

(1) $I(f) \neq \emptyset$;
(2) $J(f) = \partial I(f)$;
(3) $I(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$;
(4) $\overline{I(f)}$ has no bounded components.

In the same paper he stated the following conjectures:

(i) Every component of $I(f)$ is unbounded;
(ii) Every point of $I(f)$ can be connected to ∞ by a curve consisting of escaping points.

For the exponential maps of the form $f(z) = e^z + \lambda$ with $\lambda > -1$, it is known, by Rempe [17], that the escaping set is a connected subset of the plane, and for $\lambda < -1$, it is the disjoint union of uncountably many curves to infinity, each of which is connected component of $I(f)$ [18]. (These maps have no critical points and exactly one asymptotic value which is the omitted value λ).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35, 30D05, 37F10.

Key words and phrases. Fatou set, exponential map, escaping point, backward orbit, permutable, bounded type.

The research work of the author is supported by research fellowship from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi.

In [20], it was shown that every escaping point of every exponential map can be connected to ∞ by a curve consisting of escaping points. Furthermore, it was also shown in [20] that if f is an exponential map, that is, $f = e^{\lambda z}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then all components of $I(f)$ are unbounded, that is, Eremenko's conjecture [7] holds for exponential maps.

A complex number $w \in \mathbb{C}$ is a critical value of a transcendental entire function f if there exist some $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $f(w_0) = w$ and $f'(w_0) = 0$. Here w_0 is called a critical point of f. The image of a critical point of f is critical value of f. Also $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is an asymptotic value of a transcendental entire function f if there exist a curve Γ tending to infinity such that $f(z) \to \zeta$ as $z \to \infty$ along Γ. Recall the Eremenko-Lyubich class

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ transcendental entire} : \text{Sing}(f^{-1}) \text{ is bounded}\},$$

where Singf^{-1} is the set of critical values and asymptotic values of f and their finite limit points. Each $f \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be of bounded type. A transcendental entire function f is of finite type if Singf^{-1} is a finite set. Furthermore, if the transcendental entire functions f and g are of bounded type then so is $f \circ g$ as Sing $((f \circ g)^{-1}) \subset$ Sing $f^{-1} \cup f(\text{Sing}(g^{-1}))$, [5]. Singularities of a transcendental map plays an important role in its dynamics. They are closely related to periodic components of the Fatou set [15]. For any transcendental entire function Sing$f^{-1} \neq \emptyset$, [10, p. 66]. It is well known [8, 9], if f is of finite type then it has no wandering domains. Recently Bishop [6] has constructed an example of a function of bounded type having a wandering domain. In [16], it was shown that if f is an entire function of bounded type for which all singular orbits are bounded (that is, f is postsingularly bounded), then each connected component of $I(f)$ is unbounded, providing a partial answer to a conjecture of Eremenko [7].

Two functions f and g are called permutable if $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Fatou [2] proved that if f and g are two permutable rational functions then $F(f) = F(g)$. This was an important result that motivated the dynamics of composition of complex functions. Similar results for transcendental entire functions is still not known, though it holds in some very special cases [1, Lemma 4.5]. If f and g are transcendental entire functions, then so is $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ and the dynamics of one composite entire function helps in the study of the dynamics of the other and vice-versa. In [12], the authors considered the relationship between Fatou sets and singular values of transcendental entire functions f, g and $f \circ g$. They gave various conditions under which Fatou sets of f and $f \circ g$ coincide and also considered relation between the singular values of f, g and their compositions. In [13], the authors have constructed several examples where the dynamical behavior of f and g vary greatly from the dynamical behavior of $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$. Using approximation theory of entire functions, the authors have shown the existence of entire functions f and g having infinite number of domains satisfying various properties and relating it to their compositions. They explored and enlarged all the maximum possible ways of the solution in comparison to the past result worked out. Recall that if g and h are transcendental entire functions and f is a continuous map of the complex plane into itself with $f \circ g = h \circ f$, then g and h are said to be semiconjugated by f and f is called a semiconjugacy [4]. In [14], the author considered the dynamics of semiconjugated entire functions and provided several conditions under which the semiconjugacy carries Fatou set of one entire function

into Fatou set of other entire function appearing in the semiconjugation. Furthermore, it was shown that under certain conditions on the growth of entire functions appearing in the semiconjugation, the set of asymptotic values of the derivative of composition of the entire functions is bounded.

In this paper, we shall consider the two families of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\lambda,\xi}(z) = e^{-z+\lambda} + \xi : \lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0, \operatorname{Re}\xi \geq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}' = \{f_{\mu,\zeta}(z) = e^{z+\mu} + \zeta : \mu, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}\mu < 0, \operatorname{Re}\zeta \leq -1\}$. We have given an explicit description of escaping sets of members of the families $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$. For the family $\mathcal{F}$, we have repeatedly used the fact that those points of the complex plane which land into the right half plane under iteration of functions in this family are not going to escape. Also, for the family $\mathcal{F}'$, we have repeatedly used the fact that those points of the complex plane which land into the left half plane under iteration of functions in this family are not going to escape. We have shown that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $I(f) \subset \{z = x + iy : x < 0, (4k-3)\frac{\pi}{2} < y < (4k-1)\frac{\pi}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and for each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$, $I(f) \subset \{z = x + iy : x > 0, (4k-1)\frac{\pi}{2} < y < (4k+1)\frac{\pi}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We shall see that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and for each $g \in \mathcal{F}'$, $I(f) \cap I(g) = \emptyset$. Moreover, we shall consider the dynamics of composite entire functions and provide conditions for equality of escaping sets of two transcendental entire functions. We have also investigated the relation between escaping sets of two conjugate entire functions.

2. Theorems and their proofs

Theorem 2.1. *For each $f \in \mathcal{F}, I(f)$ is contained in $\{z = x + iy : x < 0, (4k-3)\frac{\pi}{2} < y < (4k-1)\frac{\pi}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Theorem 2.2. *For each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$, $I(f) \subset \{z = x + iy : x > 0, (4k-1)\frac{\pi}{2} < y < (4k+1)\frac{\pi}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is elementary. It is divided in several lemmas. The main objective is to show that none of the points in the right half plane belongs to $I(f), f \in \mathcal{F}$. Using this notion, we try to find out the points in the left half plane, which do not belong to $I(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We observe that the right half plane is invariant under each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. In similar spirit, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is also elementary. It is also divided in several lemmas. Here too, the main objective is to show that none of the points in the left half plane belongs to $I(g), g \in \mathcal{F}'$. Using this notion, we try to find out the points in the right half plane, which do not belong to $I(g)$, $g \in \mathcal{F}'$. We observe that the left half plane is invariant under each $g \in \mathcal{F}'$.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we first prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. *The set $I_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Re}(e^{-z+\lambda}) > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-z+\lambda}) > 0$, implies $\dfrac{(4k-1)\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda < y < \dfrac{(4k+1)\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the set I_1 is the entire right half plane $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. We show that no point in I_1 escapes to ∞ under iteration of each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. For this we show $|f^k(z)| \leq 1 + |\xi|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in I_1$. Suppose on the contrary there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in I_1$ such

that $|f^n(z)| > 1+|\xi|$. Then $|f(f^{n-1}(z))| > 1+|\xi|$, implies $1+|\xi| < |e^{-f^{n-1}(z)+\lambda}+\xi|$. This shows that $e^{-\operatorname{Re} f^{n-1}(z)+\operatorname{Re}\lambda} > 1$, and as $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$, we obtain $-\operatorname{Re} f^{n-1}(z) > 0$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} f^{n-1}(z) < 0$. Further this implies that $\operatorname{Re}(f(f^{n-2}(z))) < 0$, that is, $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-f^{n-2}(z)+\lambda} + \xi) < 0$, which implies $-\operatorname{Re}(e^{-f^{n-2}(z)+\lambda}) > \operatorname{Re}\xi \geq 1$. Since $|z| \geq -\operatorname{Re} z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we get $|(e^{-f^{n-2}(z)+\lambda}| > 1$, that is, $e^{-\operatorname{Re} f^{n-2}(z)+\operatorname{Re}\lambda} > 1$ and so $\operatorname{Re} f^{n-2}(z) < 0$. By induction we will get $\operatorname{Re} f(z) < 0$. But $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{-z+\lambda}) + \operatorname{Re}\xi > 0 + 1 = 1$, so we arrive at a contradiction and therefore proves the assertion. □

Lemma 2.4. *The set $I_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z = 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Any $z \in I_2$ has the form $z = iy$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{-iy+\lambda}+\xi) = e^{\operatorname{Re}\lambda}\cos(y - \operatorname{Im}\lambda) + \operatorname{Re}\xi$, and as $\operatorname{Re}\xi \geq 1$ we get $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$. From above lemma, $I_2 \cap I(f) = \emptyset$, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence the result. □

Lemma 2.5. *The set $I_3 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0, \operatorname{Re}(e^{-z+\lambda}) > 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and for each $z \in I_3$, $f(z)$ belongs to the right half plane and hence cannot escape to ∞ using Lemma 2.3. □

The proof of Theorem 2.1 now follows from the above three lemmas.

Remark 2.6. The right half plane is invariant under each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

To prove Theorem 2.2, we first prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.7. *The set $I_1' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0, \operatorname{Re}(e^{z+\mu}) < 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\mu < 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$.*

Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{z+\mu}) < 0$, implies $\dfrac{(4k-3)\pi}{2} - \operatorname{Im}\mu < y < \dfrac{(4k-1)\pi}{2} - \operatorname{Im}\mu$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the set I_1' is the entire left half plane $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$. We show no point in I_1' escapes to ∞ under iteration of each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$. For this we show $|f^k(z)| \leq 1+|\zeta|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in I_1'$. Suppose on the contrary there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in I_1'$ such that $|f^n(z)| > 1 + |\zeta|$. Now $|f(f^{n-1}(z))| > 1 + |\zeta|$, implies $1 + |\zeta| < |e^{f^{n-1}(z)+\mu} + \zeta|$. This shows that $e^{\operatorname{Re} f^{n-1}(z)+\operatorname{Re}\mu} > 1$, and as $\operatorname{Re}\mu < 0$, we obtain $\operatorname{Re} f^{n-1}(z) > 0$. Further this implies that $\operatorname{Re}(f(f^{n-2}(z))) > 0$, that is, $\operatorname{Re}(e^{f^{n-2}(z)+\mu} + \zeta) > 0$, which implies $\operatorname{Re}(e^{f^{n-2}(z)+\mu}) > -\operatorname{Re}\zeta \geq 1$. Since $|z| \geq \operatorname{Re} z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we get $|(e^{f^{n-2}(z)+\mu})| > 1$, that is, $e^{\operatorname{Re} f^{n-2}(z)+\operatorname{Re}\mu} > 1$ and so $\operatorname{Re} f^{n-2}(z) > 0$. By induction we will get $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$. But $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{z+\mu}) + \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0 - 1 = -1$, so we arrive at a contradiction and therefore proves the assertion. □

Lemma 2.8. *The set $I_2' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z = 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$.*

Proof. Any $z \in I_2'$ has the form $z = iy$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{iy+\mu} + \zeta) = e^{\operatorname{Re}\mu}\cos(y + \operatorname{Im}\mu) + \operatorname{Re}\zeta$, and as $\operatorname{Re}\zeta \leq -1$ we get $\operatorname{Re} f(z) < 0$. From above lemma, $I_2' \cap I(f) = \emptyset$, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$ and hence the result. □

Lemma 2.9. *The set $I_3' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Re}(e^{z+\mu}) < 0\}$ does not intersect $I(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$.*

Proof. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$ and for each $z \in I_3'$, $f(z)$ belongs to the left half plane and hence cannot escape to ∞ using Lemma 2.7. □

The proof of Theorem 2.2 now follows from the above three lemmas.

Remark 2.10. The left half plane is invariant under each $f \in \mathcal{F}'$.

The following corollary is immediate

Corollary 2.11. *For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and for each $g \in \mathcal{F}'$, $I(f) \cap I(g) = \emptyset$.*

However, if the entire functions f and g share some relation, then their escaping sets do intersect. For instance, we have

Theorem 2.12. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of period c, and let $g = f^s + c$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $I(f) = I(g)$.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g^n = f^{ns} + c$ and so $I(g) = I(f)$. □

We illustrate this with an example.

Example 2.13. Let $f = e^{\lambda z}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $g = f^s + \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g^n = f^{ns} + \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda}$ and so $I(g) = I(f)$.

3. Composite entire functions and their dynamics

In this section, we prove some results related to escaping sets of composite entire functions. Recall that if a transcendental entire function f is of bounded type, then $I(f) \subset J(f)$ and $J(f) = \overline{I(f)}$ [8].

Theorem 3.1. *If f and g are permutable transcendental entire functions of bounded type, then $\overline{I(f)}$ and $\overline{I(g)}$ are completely invariant under $f \circ g$.*

Proof. From [1], we have $g(J(f)) \subset J(f)$ and so $g(\overline{I(f)}) \subset \overline{I(f)}$. From [4], $g^{-1}(\overline{I(f)}) \subset \overline{I(f)}$. Hence $\overline{I(f)}$ is completely invariant under g. On similar lines, $\overline{I(g)}$ is completely invariant under f. As $J(f) = \overline{I(f)}$ is completely invariant under f and $J(g) = \overline{I(g)}$ is completely invariant under g, we have $\overline{I(f)}$ and $\overline{I(g)}$ are both completely invariant under f and g respectively and this completes the proof of the theorem. □

We next prove an important lemma which will be used heavily in the results to follow.

Lemma 3.2. *Let f and g be transcendental entire functions satisfying $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then $F(f \circ g) \subset F(f) \cap F(g)$.*

Proof. In [5], it was shown that $z \in F(f \circ g)$ if and only if $f(z) \in F(g \circ f)$. Since $f \circ g = g \circ f$, $F(f \circ g)$ is completely invariant under f and by symmetry, under g respectively and so, in particular, it is forward invariant under them. So $f(F(f \circ g)) \subset F(f \circ g)$ and $g(F(f \circ g)) \subset F(f \circ g)$, which by Montel's Normality Criterion implies $F(f \circ g) \subset F(f)$ and $F(f \circ g) \subset F(g)$ and hence the result. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let f and g be transcendental entire functions of bounded type satisfying $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then $\overline{I(f)} \cup \overline{I(g)} \subset \overline{I(f \circ g)}$.*

Proof. Let $z_0 \notin \overline{I(f \circ g)}$. Then there exist a neighborhood U of z_0 such that $U \cap I(f \circ g) = \emptyset$. As $f \circ g$ is of bounded type, we get $U \subset F(f \circ g)$. From Lemma 3.2, $U \subset F(f)$ and $U \subset F(g)$. Therefore, $U \cap I(f) = \emptyset$ and $U \cap I(g) = \emptyset$. Thus $z_0 \notin \overline{I(f)} \cup \overline{I(g)}$ and this proves the result. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let f and g be transcendental entire functions satisfying $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then*

(i) *$I(f \circ g)$ is completely invariant under f and g respectively;*
(ii) *$I(f \circ g) \subset I(f) \cup I(g)$;*
(iii) *For any two positive integers i and j, $I(f^i \circ g^j) = I(f \circ g)$.*

Proof. (i) We first show that $z \in I(f \circ g)$ if and only if $g(z) \in I(g \circ f)$. Let $z \in I(f \circ g)$. Then $(f \circ g)^n(z) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, that is, $f((g \circ f)^{n-1} g(z)) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. As f is an entire function, this implies that $(g \circ f)^{n-1} g(z) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, that is, $g(z) \in I(g \circ f)$. On the other hand, let $g(z) \in I(g \circ f)$. Then $(g \circ f)^n(g(z)) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, that is, $g((f \circ g)^n(z)) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Again, as g is entire, this forces $(f \circ g)^n(z) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. So, $z \in I(f \circ g)$ which proves the claim. As $f \circ g = g \circ f$, we obtain $z \in I(f \circ g)$ if and only if $g(z) \in I(f \circ g)$ which implies $I(f \circ g)$ is completely invariant under g, and by symmetry, under f respectively.

(ii) Suppose $z_0 \notin I(f) \cup I(g)$. Then both $f^n(z_0)$ and $g^n(z_0)$ are bounded as $n \to \infty$, which in turn implies $(f \circ g)^n(z_0)$ is bounded as $n \to \infty$ and hence the result.

(iii) For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, assume $i \geq j$. We first show that $I(f^i \circ g^j) \subset I(f \circ g)$. To this end, let $w \notin I(f \circ g)$. Then $(f \circ g)^n(w)$ is bounded as $n \to \infty$, which in turn (using a diagonal sequence argument) implies that $(f^i \circ g^j)^n(w)$ is bounded as $n \to \infty$. On similar lines, we get $I(f \circ g) \subset I(f^i \circ g^j)$ and hence $I(f^i \circ g^j) = I(f \circ g)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let f and g be transcendental entire functions satisfying $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then $g(I(f)) \supset I(f)$.*

Proof. Let $w \notin I(f)$. Then $f^n(w)$ is bounded and so $g(f^n(w))$ is bounded, which implies $g(w) \notin I(f)$ which proves the result. □

We now provide an important criterion for the equality of escaping sets for two entire functions.

Theorem 3.6. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of bounded type satisfying $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Assume for each $w \in \overline{I(g)}$ and for a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset I(g)$ converging to w, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{k\to\infty} g^k(w_n) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} g^k(w_n)$. Then $I(f) = I(g)$.*

Proof. From [11, Lemma 5.8], $F(f) = F(g)$ and so $J(f) = J(g)$ which implies $\overline{I(f)} = \overline{I(g)}$. Let $w \in I(f)$. Then there exist a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset I(g)$ such that $w_n \to w$ as $n \to \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}, g^k(w_n) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Now taking limit as n tends to ∞, and interchanging the two limits (by hypothesis) we obtain, $g^k(w) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ which implies $w \in I(g)$ and so $I(f) \subset I(g)$. On similar lines, one obtains $I(g) \subset I(f)$ and this completes the proof of the result. □

Remark 3.7. The result, in particular, establishes one of Eremenko's conjecture [7] that every component of $I(f)$ is unbounded.

We now provide some conditions under which $\overline{I(f)}$ equals $\overline{I(f \circ g)}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions. Then the following holds:*

(i) *If f and g are permutable and of bounded type then $\overline{I(f)} = \overline{I(f \circ g)}$;*
(ii) *If f is of period c and $g = f^m + c$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\overline{I(f)} = \overline{I(f \circ g)}$.*

Proof. (i) In view of Theorem 3.4 (ii), it suffices to show that $\overline{I(f)} \subset \overline{I(f \circ g)}$. To this end, let $w \notin \overline{I(f \circ g)}$. Then there exist a neighborhood U of w such that $U \cap I(f \circ g) = \emptyset$. As $f \circ g$ is of bounded type, it follows that $U \subset F(f \circ g)$ and so from Lemma 3.2, $U \subset F(f)$. Therefore, $U \cap I(f) = \emptyset$ which implies $w \notin \overline{I(f)}$ and this proves the result.

(ii) Observe that $f \circ g(z) = f^{m+1}(z)$ and hence the result. □

Remark 3.9. Combining Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.8(i), and using Theorem 3.4(ii) we get that if f and g are permutable and of bounded type, then $\overline{I(f \circ g)} = \overline{I(f)} \cup \overline{I(g)}$.

Finally, we discuss the relation between the escaping sets of two conjugate entire functions. Recall that two entire functions f and g are conjugate if there exist a conformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\phi \circ f = g \circ \phi$. By a conformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ we mean an analytic and univalent map of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ that is exactly of the form $az + b$, for some non zero a. If f and g are two rational functions which are conjugate under some Mobius transformation $\phi : \widetilde{\mathbb{C}} \to \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$, then it is well known [2, p. 50], $\phi(J(f)) = J(g)$. This gets easily carried over to transcendental entire funtions which are conjugate under a conformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, if f is of bounded type which is conjugate under the conformal map ϕ to an entire function g, then g is also of bounded type and $\phi(\overline{I(f)}) = \overline{I(g)}$. More generally, if transcendental entire functions f and g are conjugate by conformal map ϕ, then $\phi(I(f)) = I(g)$.

REFERENCES

[1] I. N. Baker, Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. **49** (1984), 563-576.
[2] A. F. Beardon, *Iteration of rational functions*, Springer Verlag, (1991).
[3] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1993), 151-188.
[4] W. Bergweiler and A. Hinkannen, On the semiconjugation of entire functions, Math. Seminar Christian Albrechts-Univ. Kiel **21**(1997), 1-10.
[5] W. Bergweiler and Y. Wang, On the dynamics of composite entire functions. Ark. Math. **36** (1998), 31-39.
[6] C. J. Bishop, Constructing entire functions by quasiconformal folding, preprint (2011).
[7] A. E. Eremenko, On the iteration of entire functions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, Banach Center Publications **23**, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, (1989), 339-345.
[8] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **42** (1992), 989-1020.

[9] L. R. Goldberg and L. Keen, A finiteness theorem for a dynamical class of entire functions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **6** (1986), 183-192.
[10] X. H. Hua, C. C. Yang, *Dynamics of transcendental functions*, Gordon and Breach Science Pub. (1998).
[11] D. Kumar and S. Kumar, The dynamics of semigroups of transcendental entire functions I, arXiv:math.DS/13027249, (2013) (accepted for publication in Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.)
[12] D. Kumar and S. Kumar, On dynamics of composite entire functions and singularities, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **106** (2014), 65-72.
[13] D. Kumar, G. Datt and S. Kumar, Dynamics of composite entire functions, arXiv:math.DS/12075930, (2013) (accepted for publication in J. Ind. Math. Soc.)
[14] D. Kumar, On dynamics of semiconjugated entire functions, J. Ind. Math. Soc. **82** (2015), 53-59.
[15] S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi and T. Ueda, *Holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2000).
[16] L. Rempe, On a question of Eremenko concerning escaping sets of entire functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. **39:4**, (2007), 661-666.
[17] L. Rempe, The escaping set of the exponential, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **30** (2010), 595-599.
[18] L. Rempe, Connected escaping sets of exponential maps, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **36** (2011), 71-80.
[19] G. Rottenfusser, J. Ruckert, L. Rempe and D. Schleicher, Annals of Mathematics, **173**, (2011), 77-125
[20] D. Schleicher and J. Zimmer, Escaping points of exponential maps, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **67** (2003), 380-400.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI–110 007, INDIA